

#### A Story of Two Extremes:

### **Large-Scale Vector Search in E-Commerce and Email RAG**



#### **Etienne Dilocker**

Co-Founder & CTO



#### **How can we serve two very different billion-scale use cases with the same vector db?**

**e-commerce** ⇔ **email RAG**

**single-tenant** ⇔ **multi-tenant**

**billions of vectors per dataset** ⇔ **millions of datasets**

**in-memory indexes** ⇔ **disk indexes**

**query latency** ⇔ **cost per tenant**

**high-frequency updates** ⇔ **writes across tenants**



### **E-commerce Search**





### **How does the user interact with the app?**

A **prominently placed search bar** starts the user journey.

A search can be **pure text or faceted** (e.g. within price ranges, specific attributes, etc.)

The user **finds the most relevant products** for their (semantic) query.





# **What does the overall dataset look like?**

There are **no or few natural partitions** in the dataset.

An incoming query is likely to hit an **unpredictable subsection of the data**.

#### Examples:

- "colorful summer dress"
- "professional video camera"





### **How does data flow?**

Product changes are **streamed in real-time** from various external sources producing **millions of updates every day**.

Users query a **single, unified dataset** agnostic of where the data originally came from.

High amount of **concurrent imports and queries** – on a single dataset.





# **What is the scale? What are our targets?**

Number of Objects/Vectors **110 billion**

Updates per day

**10s of millions**

Desired query latency **p50200ms p99500ms**

Tenants / dataset partitions **1 or few**





### **How do we fit the right tech?**

**HNSW** is a graph-based **approximate nearest neighbor** (ANN) vector index.

It is optimized for **very low latency and high throughput**.

It is somewhat costly to build and requires all **vectors in memory** to serve queries.





### **What does it mean to hold 10B vectors in memory?**

Example: text-embedding-3−small with 1536d

1e10 \* 1536 \* 4Byte = 55TiB

**Product Quantization** is a compression technique that can **reduce the memory footprint** of common vector embeddings 8-fold.

While using **lossful compression**, accuracy can be restored through **disk-based rescoring** of candidate vectors.





### **Can HNSW handle so many updates and deletes?**

### **Will it stay "fresh"?**

Weaviate's HNSW implementation makes use of **an in-place batch repair job**.

As a result, it can tackle **millions of updates and deletes per hour** without sacrificing result quality.





# **Tech Summary**





### $\bullet\bullet\bullet\bullet$









**Cold**







Constant updates / Freshness High concurrent query throughput Low-latency billion-scale search

In-memory vector index (HNSW)

Memory footprint reduced by compression (PQ)

Store and serve billions of objects

Auxiliary disk-based indexes (filtering, ranges, fuzzy matching)

All caches always "hot", no need to offload to cloud storage.

# **Email RAG**



 $\mathbb{Q}$ 



# **How does the user interact with the app?**



Email RAG is a classical **AI-assistant or "Ask AIˮ** style of feature.

The user pulls up the AI assistant on demand to **gain insights into a personal dataset** (in this case their email mailbox).



# **What does the overall dataset look like?**



The **dataset has many natural partitions**. A typical query can be narrowed down to **exactly one** such partition.

#### Example:

Jane searches through her emails with "when does my flight leave from SFO?"



### **How does data flow?**



Nearly every mailbox receives **at least one email per day**.

The total number of **emails per mailbox is low**, but the **overall volume is massive**.

User **behavior is sporadic**. Follows business hours, many users have days where they don't query at all.



# **What is the scale? What are our targets?**

Number of Objects/Vectors **110 billion**

Desired query latency **p50200ms p991000ms**

Inserts per day **low millions** Tenants / dataset partitions

**100s of thousands**





## **Tenant Size Distribution**





01000101010100010101 11000101011100010101 01000101010100010101 01101010110110101011 01010100010101010001

The **flat index** is a disk-based kNN index that can be combined with compression techniques such as **Binary Quantization** to turn it into an aNN index.

It excels with relatively small datasets, such as 1-5M objects.





### **Tenant (mailbox) activity over 48h**



#### **Storage Tiers and their cost**



# very fast, but very expensive

#### **SSD Drives (WARM)** medium speed, medium cost

**Cloud Storage (COLD)** cheap, but slow



# **Tech Summary**







**Hot**





**SSD Drives** 





### $\bullet\bullet\bullet\bullet$

Individual indexes are small  $(\sim 1M)$ per tenant)

Importing/update is cheap (no graph updates required)

Memory primarily acts as a cache to improve latency.

Sweet spot at fairly low numbers.

SSDs are the main workhorses here.

Best balance between import and query load.

Inactive or infrequently queried tenants reside in cold (cloud) storage.



#### **Where do the cases overlap?**

The overall amount of objects and vectors in both cases is somewhat similar (1-10bn range), yet the composition is very different.

Both cases require **sub-second real-time latency** to serve millions of users.





Weaviate makes it very easy to integrate with other vendors in the embedding ecosystem.

For example, turning **text to vectors** using 3rd-party vectorizers like **OpenAI, Cohere, Ollama**, etc works out of the box – optimized to max out your specific rate limit and provide **great observability**.





### **What's next?**

#### **Full separation of storage and compute**





 $\begin{picture}(120,15) \put(0,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}}$ 

We've looked at two billion-scale use cases that both require real-time latencies.

The e-commerce case has a single dataset, requiring a high-throughput, low-latency in-memory index. We used compression to reduce the memory footprint considerably.

The email RAG case had 100s of thousands of partitions each with relatively small (millions) of objects. This allowed us to use separate indexes that are all disk-based.



# How can you use these learnings for your case?

ัฝ

The first question to ask is:

**What are the natural partitions in your dataset?** 





#### **Connect with us!**



#### weaviate.io



#### weaviate/weaviate

@etiennedi @weaviate\_io

All illustrations and visualizations that were not created by our awesome design team (thank you!) were generated with DALL-E 3.



#### **GenAI blooper reel**





Here is the revised mockup with the words "E-commerce Search" mentioned only once in a central place above the search bar. The design language is closer to the original style, with the text minimized and the overall layout cleaned up. If you have any more requests or further adjustments, feel free to let me know!

It is better, however, there is still a large shopping cart in the center. This should not be a shopping cart, but rather a database or a generic machine



The shopping cart in the center has been replaced with a neutral, abstract, and futuristic machine symbolizing a database. This new visual maintains the stark contrast between "e-commerce" and "email RAG" while highlighting the unifying power of a vector database. I hope this version better fits your vision for the title slide!





#### **Connect with us!**



#### weaviate.io



#### weaviate/weaviate

@etiennedi @weaviate\_io

All illustrations and visualizations that were not created by our awesome design team (thank you!) were generated with DALL-E 3.